

## Kashf Foundation, Pakistan

### **SOCIAL RATING**

s A-

Good social performance management and client protection systems. Social mission likely to be achieved.

Social Performance Management Client protection, responsibility Outreach Quality of the services



**Social Rating Committee** 

Aug-19

Previous SR: N/A

Copyright © 2019 MFR Srl (MFR). Any reproduction without MFR express permission is forbidden.

#### **SOCIAL RATING RATIONALE**

# SOCIAL PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

Social mission complete with a good level of commitment at all levels (governance, management and staff). The social strategy defines some social goals although the definition of targets related to the mission could be more specific and the system to monitor change in clients' lives improved. The institution collects reliable and qualitative information (including poverty related data), enabling a good internal and external reporting.

CLIENT PROTECTION AND SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY Social responsibility towards staff is excellent, thanks to comprehensive HR policies, performance appraisals, trainings and additional services (daycare, paternity leave). The environmental strategy is yet to be implemented. Overall alignment to client protection is good, especially for treatment of clients. Adequate measures to prevent over-indebtedness and ensure transparency. Pricing in line with national benchmark.

### **OUTREACH**

Level of outreach to women is excellent, while to areas poorer than the national average is good. Coverage of rural areas is moderate, in part because Kashf began as an urban programme. Alignment between targeted and serviced clients is good, considering client poverty, financial inclusion and other sociodemographic factors.

## QUALITY OF THE SERVICES

Variety of financial services is adequate, whilst accessibility and flexibility of credit products are good. Client drop-out is monitored well and regularly. Health insurance covering a large range of events is provided for the majority of clients. Unique variety and scale of non-financial services for clients and their families, on health, education and social issues.

Institutional data	Mar-19	Social indicators	Mar-19
Active borrowers	492,828	Rural coverage, loans	34%
Active savers	-	Female clients	100%
Gross portfolio, USD	98,214,956	Female staff	50%
Total active savings, USD	-	Female staff in management	25%
Branches	300	Average disbursed loan amount, USD	332
Total staff	2,712	Portfolio for income generating activities	67%
		Productive portfolio to microenterprises	100%
Legal form	NBFI	Average loan balance / GNI pc	13%
	Foundation	Individual methodology, clients	100.0%
Inception 1996	Network PMN	Client drop-out ratio	33%
Area	Urban-rural	PAR30	0.3%
Credit methodology	Individual	Staff turn-over ratio	20%
Financial services	Credit, insurance	Average annual percentage rate (APR)	39%
Non fin. services	Education (financial, other)	Average transparency index	52
Coverage	Punjab, Sindh, KP, Balochistan	Growth in active borrowers	29%
		See annex 2 and 4 for more details.	

**MFR Headquarters** 

Tel: +39 02 3656 5019

**Kashf Foundation** 

Via Rigola 7

Milan

info@mf-rating.com www.mf-rating.com

info@kashf.org www.kashf.org Service Rd, Block K Phase 2 Johar Town Lahore Tel: +92 42 111981981

### **Social Rating Scale**

Grade	Definition
<sup>S</sup> AA	Excellent social performance management and client protection systems.
	High likelihood of achieving the social mission.
<sup>S</sup> A	Good social performance management and client protection systems.
	Social mission likely to be achieved.
SBB	Adequate social performance management and client protection systems.
	Satisfactory alignment to the social mission.
<sup>S</sup> B	Moderate social performance management and client protection systems.
	Partial alignment to the social mission
<sup>s</sup> C	Weak social performance management and client protection systems.
	Medium risk of mission drift
<sup>S</sup> D	Poor social performance management and client protection systems.
	Risk of mission drift.

The modifiers "+" and "-" which can be added to the rating grade indicate small relative differences within each rating category.

More information: <u>www.mf-rating.com</u>

The information used in the social rating has been partly provided by the evaluated institution and partly collected during the meetings with the head executives, the staff and the clients of the institution. The analysis is based on internal MIS data and other official sources. MFR cannot guarantee the reliability and integrity of the information, as it does not conduct auditing exercises, and therefore does not bear responsibility for any mistake or omission coming from the use of such information. The social rating has to be considered as an external and independent opinion and it has not to be considered as a recommendation to realize investments in a specific institution.